DOI 10.1515/pjvs-2017-0080

Original article

Antimicrobial susceptibility of Salmonella spp. strains isolated from free-living birds

M. Krawiec¹, S. Rusiecki², M. Kuczkowski¹, A. Wieliczko¹

¹ Department of Epizootiology and Clinic of Bird and Exotic Animals, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Plac Grunwaldzki 45, 50-366 Wrocław, Poland ² Museum of Natural History, Wrocław University, Sienkiewicza 21, 50-335 Wrocław, Poland

Abstract

Salmonella is one of the most common causes of food poisoning in the European Union and the United States of America. Free-living birds are known as a reservoir for the different serovars of Salmonella, including S. Typhimurium, S. Enteritidis, S. Infantis, S. Newport and S. Hadar, which may play an important role in the epidemiology of salmonellosis in farm animals, particularly poultry. Also, the antibiotic resistance of Salmonella spp. is a growing, public health emergency.

In the present study, the authors examined 36 Salmonella spp. strains, which belonged to 3 subspecies; enterica, salamae and houtenae. All of them were obtained from 13 species of free-living birds in Poland. The antimicrobial susceptibility of these Salmonella strains was determined, using commercial SensititreTM Salmonella, MIC plates, for fourteen antimicrobials, from nine antimicrobial groups: sulfonamides, aminoglycosides, fluorochinolones, cephalosporines, beta-lactams, tetracyclines, phenicols, polymyxins and trimethoprim. The prevalence of selected genes which determine antimicrobial resistance; i.e. aadB, aacC, blaTEM, blaPSE-1, blaOXA, tetA, tetB, tetC, tetG, cat1, cat2, cat3 and floR was also tested. Among all of the examined strains, no resistance was detected in relation to gentamicin, cefotaxime and ceftazidime, while most strains (94.5%) were resistant to sulfamethoxazol. Among the 36 examined bacteria isolates, twenty were resistant to more than one antimicrobial agent. The antimicrobial resistant gene, floR was most frequently detected among all examined strains (50%).

Key words: Salmonella spp., antimicrobial susceptibility, resistance, wild birds

Introduction

Salmonellosis is one of the major human foodborne diseases, with a high incidence of infection causing gastroenteritis (EFSA Report 2015). According to recent reports, published by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), the two most commonly reported Salmonella serovars, in confirmed human cases from the European Union (EU) in the last few years, were Salmonella (S.) enterica subsp. enterica ser. Enteritidis and ser. Typhimurium (EFSA Report 2015). Poultry meat (especially chicken) and eggs have consistently been among the most frequently implicated sources of human Salmonella outbreaks (Gast 2008). Free-living

birds are also recognized as a reservoir for Salmonella and they play an important role in the transmission of pathogens (Daoust and Prescot 2007). Some wild bird species, including garden birds and pigeons, seem to be more sensitive to infections or present a host adaptation to some Salmonella serovars, especially to S. Typhimurium (Tizard 2004, Daoust and Prescot 2007, Hughes et al. 2008, Lawson et al. 2011). The majority of salmonellosis cases in wild birds worldwide, are caused by this serovar (Tizard 2004). Potentially, it is being transmitted from wild birds to people and animals (Daoust and Prescot 2007). The antibiotic resistance of bacteria, including Salmonella spp., is a growing public health emergency. The problem concerns bacteria carrying different resistance mechanisms, which can be transferred to humans and animals, and can therefore result in a carrier state (WHO 2014). It has been reported that the number of animals affected by Salmonella spp. or other bacteria has shown marked antibiotic resistance (Cole et al. 2005, Dobbin et al. 2005). Some reports showed higher resistance of various Salmonella serovars isolated from birds than from other animals (Molina-Lopez et al. 2015). The presence of dangerous, multi-drug resistance (MDR) has also been highly detected among Salmonella strains obtained from birds. The frequent detection of Salmonella and other bacterial strains, resistant to commonly used antimicrobials, within the livestock but also within environment and wildlife, is alarming (Camarda et al. 2006, Heuer and Smalla 2007). One of the most important determinants of bacterial contagion is the presence of antibiotic resistance-associated genes. The occurrence of Salmonella strains being increasingly resistant to multiple, antimicrobial agents is associated with the acquisition of multiple resistance genes. Among the many genes known to be associated with the resistance of Salmonella strains to antimicrobials, which are commonly used in human and veterinary medicine, the most likely to occur are: aadB and aacC (the determination of resistance with aminoglycosides), blaTEM, blaPSE1, blaOXA (β-lactams), floR, cat1, cat2, cat3 (phenicols) tetA-tetE, tetG and tetL (tetracyclines, where the most popular are tetA, tetB and tetC) as well as mutations in gyrA, resulting in Ser \rightarrow 83 \rightarrow Tyr substitution, Asp→87→Asn substitution, which determine resistance to fluorochinolones (Carlson et al. 1999, Gallardo et al. 1999, Reche et al. 2002, Randall et al. 2004, Fonseca et al. 2006).

Previous investigations on antimicrobial susceptibility concerned mostly two groups of free-living birds: raptors and gulls (Ramos et al. 2010, Molina-Lopez et al. 2011, Molina-Lopez et al. 2015). Due to the alarming nature of the prevalence of multi-drug resistant bacteria indicated in these two groups of wild birds

there is a need for further research on antimicrobial susceptibility among *Salmonella* isolates obtained from other free-living birds, including migratory waterfowl and garden birds.

The aim of this study was to investigate the role of wild birds as a potential reservoir of antimicrobial resistant bacteria. The prevalence of antibiotic susceptibility and the antimicrobial resistance genes of *Salmonella* strains, isolated from free-living birds focused mostly on epidemiologically meaningful serovars; i.e. *S.* Typhimurium, *S.* Enteritidis, *S.* Virchow, *S.* Infantis and *S.* Hadar (monitored in the EU, in poultry flocks) as well as serovars more meaningful for birds and other animals: *S.* Newport and *S.* Heidelberg, were evaluated. Strains of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *salamae* and one strain of the subspecies *houtenae*, were also examined, for the first time.

Materials and Methods

Isolates of 36 Salmonella spp., obtained from 13 free-living bird species, in Poland, were used in the present research. Bacterial strains obtained from wild birds were collected from 2011 (November) until 2014 (August). Each Salmonella was identified using the International Organization for Standarization procedure PN-EN ISO 6579: 2003/A1: 2007. Salmonella isolates were serotyped, using a single factor antisera Berlin, Germany) according White-Kauffman-Le Minor scheme (Guibourdenche et al. 2010). Identification of Salmonella at the genus and subspecies levels was performed in accordance with the method of Lee et al. (2009). All Salmonella strains in the present research were isolated from material collected using a general sampling scheme, under the larger project no. NR 12 0126 10, as detailed by Krawiec et al. (2015).

Antimicrobial susceptibility

The antimicrobial susceptibility of each Salmonella strain was determined, using commercial Sensititre,, Salmonella, MIC plates (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Tewksbury, MA, USA) with the International Sensititre Salmonella Plate Format, in accordance with Treck Diagnostic Systems, for fourteen antimicrobials, from nine antimicrobial groups according to the manufacturer's protocols. Bacterial isolates were categorized as susceptible, intermediate or resistant to antimicrobials, using interpretive criteria published by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute and by the National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System of the USA (streptomycin)



Table 1. Antimicrobial dilution range for 36 Salmonella isolates (according to Minimal Inhibitory Concentration values).

μg/ml	0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Smx	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	34!
Gen	X	X	X	X	X	0	30	4	1	1	0	0	0	X	X	X	X	X
Cip	1	0	26	3	1	2	0	2	0	1	0	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Amp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	23	3	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Fot	X	X	X	8	19	7	1	1	0	0	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Taz	X	X	X	X	X	23	10	2	0	0	1	0	X	X	X	X	X	X
Tet	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	3	26	2	2	0	0	3!	X	X	X	X
Str*	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	1	9	12	9	3	1	X	X	X
Tmp	X	X	X	X	X	X	29	2	1	0	1	0	3	X	X	X	X	X
Chl	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	3	10	16	5	2	0	X	X	X	X
Col	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	28	8	x	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Ffn**	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	6	16	10	2	1	1	X	X	X	X
Kan	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	31	1	1	1	2	0	X	X	X
Nal	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	29	4	0	0	3	X	X	X	X

Sensitive intermediate Resistance

No intermediate breakpoint criteria are determined by some antibiotics.

Sulfonamid: smx – sulfamethoxazol; Aminoglycoside: gen – gentamicin, str – streptomycin, kan – kanamycin; fluorochinolones: cip – ciprofloxacin, nal – nalidixic acid; cephalosporines: fot – cefotaxime, taz – ceftazidime; beta – lactams: amp – ampicilin; tetracyclines: tet – tetracycline; phenicols: ffn – florfenicol, chl – chloramphenicol; polymyxins: col – colistin; trimethoprim: tmp – trimethoprim

in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendation (CLSI 2013, CLSI 2014, Zhao et al. 2006).

DNA extraction

Bacteria were incubated overnight, at 37°C, on a nutrient agar (Merck) followed by genomic DNA extraction, using a Dneasy® Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Bacterial plasmid DNA was isolated, using a GeneJET Plasmid DNA Purification Kit (Thermo Fischer Scientific, USA). DNA concentration was quantified spectrophotometrically (BioPhotometer, Eppendorf, Wesseling-Berzdorf, Germany) and stored at -20°C.

Detection of antibiotic resistance - associated genes

The prevalence of genes encoding resistance to selected antimicrobials, such as: aminoglicosides, s-lactams, tetracyclines, phenicols and fluoroquinolones, which are widely used in the treatment of people and poultry, was examined in this study. The genes *aadB*, *aacC*, *blaTEM*, *blaPSE-1*, *blaOXA*, *tetA*, *tetB*, *tetC*, *tetG*, *cat1*, *cat2*, *cat3* and *floR*, were examined and detected, using primers and protocols, as reported by Türkyilmaz et al. (2009). PCR reactions were performed separately, on every examined gene adapted to the annealing temperature of the primers. Mutations in the quinolone resistance-determining region (QRDR) of *gyrA* was determined, using the RFLP-PCR method, with protocol primers and a restriction enzyme, as reported by Abdi-Hachesoo et al. (2013) and previously by Ozeki et al. (1997). The *Salmonella* ser. Typhimurium (ATCC # 14028) strain was used as a control.

Results

Among strains used in this research, 24 belonged to the subspecies *enterica*, 11 to subsp. *salamae* and 1 to subsp. *houtenae*. Isolates of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* were most often serotyped as ser. Typhimurium (18 strains) and were collected from the following bird species: Eurasian siskin (7), Greenfinch (3), Mallard duck (3), Redpoll (1), Common wood

^{*} In accordance with CLSI norms M100-S24, there are no MIC interpretive standards for streptomycin. This breakpoint was established by NARMS (Zhao et al. 2006);

^{**} norms for florfenicol were taken from CLSI breakpoints and norms M100-S23;

[!] all of the bacteria grew, even at the highest MIC value of the examined antimicrobial;



Table 2. Number of intermediate and resistant strains among Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica strains, with examined antimicrobials

		Salmonella enterica subsp.												
Antimicrobials	Typhimurium n=18		Newport n=2		Heidelberg n=1		Virchow n=1		Hadar n=1		Infantis n=1		salamae and houtenae n=12	
	int	res	Int	res	int	res	Int	res	int	res	int	Res	int	res
Smx	2	16	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	12
Gen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cip	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
Amp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Fot	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Taz	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tet	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
Str	_	1	_	0	_	0	_	1	_	0	_	0	_	2
Tmp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Chl	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	0
Col	_	7	_	0	_	0	_	0	_	0	_	0	0	1
Ffn	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	8	1
Kan	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nal	_	1	_	0	_	0	_	1	_	0	_	1	_	0

[&]quot;-" norms for intermediate strain values were not determined

Sulfonamid: smx – sulfamethoxazol; Aminoglycoside: gen – gentamicin, str – streptomycin, kan – kanamycin; fluorochinolones: cip – ciprofloxacin, nal – nalidixic acid; cephalosporines: fot – cefotaxime, taz – ceftazidime; beta – lactams: amp – ampicilin; tetracyclines: tet – tetracycline

phenicols: ffn - florfenicol, chl - chloramphenicol; polymyxins: col - colistin; trimethoprim: tmp - trimethoprim

pigeon (1), Blue tit (1), Great tit (1) and Blackbird (1). Other serovars of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica, such as Infantis (1), Hadar (1), Virchow (1), Heidelberg (1), and Newport (2) were isolated from; Eurasian siskin (1), Mallard duck (1), Eurasian siskin (1), Greenfinch (1), Rook (1) and Great tit (1). Salmonella enterica subsp. salamae were obtained from Eurasian tree sparrow (1), Great cormorant (1), Great tit (8) and Common swift (1), and subsp. houtenae from 1 Mallard duck. No Salmonella Enteritidis was detected among isolates obtained from wild birds in the present study. The results obtained in this study show the high variability of antimicrobial susceptibility, detected by MIC, among Salmonella strains, isolated from free-living birds, in Poland. MIC values for the examined Salmonella strains are shown in Table 1. Among all examined strains, resistance to gentamicin, cefotaxime and ceftazidime was not detected, while most strains (94.5%) were resistant to sulfamethoxazole. Among the thirty-six examined Salmonella strains collected from free-living birds, twenty were resistant to more than one antimicrobial. The most multi-drug resistant strain was Salmonella enterica ser. Virchow collected from young, common starling. This bacteria showed resistance to nine antimicrobials: sulfamethoxazol, ciprofloxacin, ampicilin, tetracycline, streptomycin, chloramphenicol, florfenicol, kanamycin and nalidixic acid. Another strain, resistant to five antimicrobials, was Salmonella enterica ser. Infantis. This strain was collected from another young, common starling and showed resistance to sulfametoxazol, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, florfenicol and nalidixic acid. Salmonella strains which were resistant to ciprofloxacin (3 strains) and nalidixic acid (3 strains) as well as most strains resistant to colistin (7 strains) belonged to the subspecies *enterica*. All three strains resistant to trimethoprim, belonged to the subspecies salamae. Among three strains resistant to tetracycline, two of them belonged to the subspecies enterica and one to salamae (Table 2).

The presence of selected genes encoding antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* spp. isolates, collected from free-living birds in Poland, is shown in Table 3. The highest prevalence of the antimicrobial resistant gene *floR*, was most frequently detected among all examined strains (50%). This gene was found in 62.5% of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* strains and in only 25% of strains belonging to subsp. *sala*-



Table 3. Distribution of antibiotic resistance genes in strains of Salmonella spp. isolated from free-living birds.

	Nos.	Genes encoding resistance to selected antimicrobials													
Salmonella	(%) of	AMG*		BL				TI	ΞT		FFN & CAM				FQS
enterica subsp.	isolates	aadB	acC	bla TEM	bla PSE1	bla OXA	tetA	tetB	etC	etG	loR	at1	at2	at3	gyr A Mut
Enterica	24	6	0	2	2	0	4	1	0	2	15	11	0	6	3
	66.7	25.0	0.0	8.3	8.3	0.0	16.7	4.2	0.0	8.3	62.5	45.8	0.0	25.0	12.5
Salamae and houtenae	12	2	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	3	2	1	1	0
	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	25.0	8.3	0.0	8.3	25.0	16.7	8.3	8.3	0.0
Total	36	8	0	2	2	1	7	2	0	3	18	13	1	7	3
	100.0	22.23	0.0	5.55	5.55	2.78	19.45	5.55	0.0	8.33	50.0	36.12	2.78	19.45	8.33

^{*} AMG – aminoglycosides; BL – β -lactams; TET – tetracycline; FFN & CAM – phenicols (florfenicol and chloramphenicol); FQS – fluorochinolones

mae and houtenae. The percentages of other detected genes were as follows: cat1 (36.12%), aadB (22.23%), tetA and cat3 (19.45% for both). Three of these genes; cat1, cat3 and aadB, were most frequently found in isolates of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica. Mutation in gyrA and the presence of the genes tetG, blaTEM, blaPSE1, tetB, blaOXA and cat2, was also detected among examined strains but in a lower amount (from 2.78% to 8.33%). Genes aacC and tetC were not detected in any of the examined Salmonella strains.

Discussion

Available data on the antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella spp., isolated from free-living birds, is diverse, within varying reports. Most researchers indicate a relatively low resistance rate, among the Salmonella spp., obtained from wild birds, though this rate is variable among serovars of Salmonella worldwide (Kobayashi et al. 2007, Molina-Lopez et al. 2011, Matias et al. 2016). Despite the quite low prevalence of Salmonella spp. strains in the bird population, the high occurrence of many drug resistant strains (including MDR) was reported in free-living raptors (Molina-Lopez et al. 2011) and gulls (Ramos et al. 2010). The high prevalence of MDR, especially in these groups of birds could be connected to their lifestyle and nutrition. Raptors are also more often the patients of veterinary clinics or wildlife rescue centres than other groups of birds such as songbirds. Subsequently, they might have contact with bacterial MDR strains more frequently than other groups of wild birds. In turn, because of feeding habits related to garbage and sewage, gulls have been largely assumed to increase the risk of microbiological infection. But, results obtained in the present study confirm this possibility, as two of the most resistant Salmonella strains, i.e. ser. Virchow and ser. Infantis, were detected in the faeces of two young starlings, which lived in nests in the same drainage ditches in suburbs of Wrocław. These drainage ditches were also full of litter and sewage. Garden birds (especially Passerines) were treated as not representing a high, zoonotic risk of salmonellosis infection, because the molecular characterisation of isolates suggests that the S. Typhimurium infection, in wild passerines, is maintained within wild bird populations and causative strains may be host-adapted (Hughes et al. 2008). However, the rate of Salmonella resistance detected in our study, conducted on strains obtained mostly from garden birds and waterfowl, seems to be higher, compared to previous reports. This might be connected with the season in which the samples were collected and with the condition of birds. Most cases of salmonellosis, in free-living birds, are documented as case reports of outbreaks during the winter months, and early spring. Such a phenomenon is connected with increased foraging at feeders, which are likely sites of public exposure to sick or dead garden birds and their faeces (Lawson et al. 2011, Krawiec et al. 2014). The common prevalence of wild birds in urban areas, especially in parks, around ponds or close to gardens and houses (e.g. bird feeders) as well as the frequent occurrence of small Passerine birds or common swifts on farms (e.g. birds nesting in henhouses, barns or stables) create the possibility of cross-infection among birds, people and livestock (Tizard 2004, Daoust and Prescot 2007, Hamer et al. 2011, Lawson et al. 2011, Hernandez et al. 2012, Krawiec et al 2015), including an exchange of resistant strains. In results obtained in the present research, only one strain (2.78%) showed susceptibility to all fourteen examined antimicrobials. This bacteria was obtained from a blackbird and was determined as Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica ser. Typhimurium. Similarly to our results, Hudson et al. (2000) also reported the highest resistance to sul-

fametoxasole, among every resistant Salmonella strain. In another study, resistance to sulfametoxasole was checked as a combination of sulfametoxasole--trimethoprim and, in these cases, the resistance of Salmonella isolates was lower, compared to separated sulfametoxasole (Matias et al. 2016). During the same study, more frequent resistance to tetracyclines and ampicillin or streptomycin, was detected (Matias et al. 2016). A similar order of increasing resistance to ampicillin and tetracycline, among Salmonella strains, was reported in isolates obtained from people (Gallardo et al. 1999) or production animals, including poultry (Seyfarth et al. 1997, Boqvist et al. 2003). Such a situation may suggest the participation of wild birds, in the transport and spread of antimicrobial resistant Salmonella, into the environment, which can have an epidemiological importance people for meat-chain production. The presence of Salmonella resistance, to chloramphenicol and kanamycin or colistin, reported in our study, was also reported by other researchers, in strains collected from wild birds and people (Gallardo et al. 1999, Kobayashi et al. 2007, Molina-Lopez et al. 2011). The detection of strains resistant to colistin might be significant. Colistin is the last effective antimicrobial used in the treatment of human salmonellosis and colibacteriosis in poultry and pigs. Detection of bacteria resistant to colistin in the population of wild birds might indicate the prevalence of similar strains in the environment and contamination between the environment, wild birds and livestock (Anjum et al. 2016). The resistance of Salmonella strains to nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin, as detected in our study, has also been reported in free-living birds in more recent studies (Reche et al. 2002, Kobayashi et al. 2007, Matias et al. 2016).

Salmonella Virchow and Salmonella Infantis examined in the present study, were more multiple resistant, compared to other isolated Salmonella serovars. These two serovars are monitored in poultry breeder flocks for being particularly dangerous and are commonly recognized as food-borne diseases in people. The two isolates of S. Newport obtained in this study only show resistance to sulfametoxasole. Serovar S. Newport is one of the most commonly detected strains in poultry, particularly turkey (Papadopoulou et al. 2009) and is an important cause of salmonellosis, with strains being increasingly resistant to multiple antimicrobial agents (Lynne et al. 2008). The presence of the three Salmonella serovars mentioned above (S. Virchow, S. Infantis, S. Newport), in non-domestic birds, might indicate, once more, the epidemiological importance of wild birds in the transmission and spread of potentially infectious Salmonella strains, to people and other animals. Antimicrobial resistance might also be different, among strains from the same serovar. Our results, for *S*. Typhimurium strains, show one isolate as being sensitive to every tested antimicrobial, while another shows multi-drug resistance. A similar situation was observed by Reche et al. (2002) and Kobayashi et al. (2007). There is a lack of information on the antimicrobial resistance of non *S. enterica* subsp. *enterica* strains, but of importance seems to be the fact that strains of *S. enterica* subsp. *salamae* show a different susceptibility to selected antimicrobials, compared with susbsp. *enterica*.

The presence of a mutation in the gyrA subunit has been described, within Salmonella and other Enterobacteriacae strains, as resistant to nalidixic acid, obtained from people and animals, including wild birds (Griggs et al. 1996, Reche et al. 2002). The results obtained in the present study vary slightly, compared to results obtained by Reche et al. (2002) who reported that 7/45 (15.5%) of examined Salmonella strains from wild birds were resistant to nalidixic acid and carried a mutation in the gyrA subunit. The percentage of strains resistant to nalidixic acid and carrying the mutation in the gyrA subunit, in this study, was 8.33% (3/36). Some strains showed the higher prevalence of examined genes, encoding antimicrobial resistance, compared to the antimicrobial resistance determined by MIC. This result suggests that many resistant genes might be inactive and it is worth focusing more attention on the mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance development in many bacteria isolated from free-living birds.

In conclusion, in relation to antibiotic resistance and the prevalence of associated genes in the examined strains, free-living birds can be a reservoir for antimicrobial resistant *Salmonella* in the environment, and can play a role in the transmission and spread of this bacteria to animals and humans.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The research was conducted with the consent of the 2nd Local Ethical Committee for Animal Experiments (Wrocław, Poland; no. 41/2011) the consent of the General Directorate of Environmental Protection, Poland (nos. 253/2012 and 259/2013) and with the consent of the Regional Directorate of Environmental Protection, Wrocław, Poland, no. WPN. 6205.67.2012.MK.1. Game birds were hunted and collected by hunters in accordance with local hunting laws. Samples of great cormorants were obtained during the annual population cull in Poland, in accordance with the annual specifications of the Regional



Directorate of Environmental Protection. All other information is included in the Materials and Methods section.

Acknowledgments

This research project was financed by the National Centre for Research and Development, project number no. 12 0126 10.

Publication was supported by the Wroclaw Centre for Biotechnology, the Leading National Research Centre Programme (KNOW) for the years 2014-2018.

The authors are grateful to the Odra Wrocław Bird-Ringing Group, the Sudetic Bird-Ringing Group and the organizers of the Rakutowskie ornithological camp, as well as to Piotr Zaijczek and Mieczysław Łyskawa, for their help with collection of the samples.

References

- Abdi-Hachesoo B, Asasi K, Sharifiyazdi H (2013) Rapid detection of *Escherichia coli* gyrA and parC mutants in one-day- old broiler chicks in Iran. Vet Ital 49: 291-297.
- Andres- Barranco S, Vico JP, Garrido V, Samper S, Herrera- Leon S, de Frutos C, Mainar-Jaime RC (2014) Role of wild bird and rodents in the epidemiology of sublinical salmonellosis in finishing pigs. Foodborne Pathog Dis 11: 689-697.
- Anjum MF, Duggett NA, AbuOun M, Randall L, Nunez-Garcia J, Ellis RJ, Rogers J, Horton R, Brena C, Williamson S, Martelli F, Davies R, Teale C (2016) Colistin resistance in Salmonella and Escherichia coli isolates from a pig farm in Great Britain. J Antimicrob Chemother 71: 2306-2313.
- Boqvist S, Hansson I, Nord Bjerselius U, Hamilton C, Wahlstrom H, Noll B, Tysen E, Engvall A (2003) *Salmonella* Isolated from Animals and Feed Production in Sweden Between 1993 and 1997. Acta Vet Scand 44: 181-197.
- Camarda A, Circella E, Pennelli D, Madio A, Bruni G, Lagrasta V, Marzano G, Mallia E, Campagnari E (2006) Wild birds as biological indicators of environmental pollution: biotyping and antimicrobial resistance patterns of Escherichia coli isolated from Audouin's gulls (*Larus Audouinii*) living in the Bay of Gallipoli (Italy). Ital J Anim Sci 5: 287-290.
- Carlson SA, Bolton LF, Briggs CE, Hurd HS, Sharma VK, Fedorka-Cray PJ, Jones BD (1999) Detection of multiresistant Salmonella Typhimurium DT104 using multiplex and fluorogenic PCR. Mol Cell Probes 13: 213-222.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2013).

 Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility
 Testing; Twenty-Fourth Informational Supplement. CLSI
 document M100-S23.Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2014). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fourth Informational Supplement. CLSI document M100-S24.Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.

- Cole D, Drum DJ, Stalknecht DE, White DG, Lee MD, Ayers S, Sobsey M, Maurer JJ (2005) Free-living Canada geese and antimicrobial resistance. Emerg Infect Dis 11: 935-938.
- Daoust PY, Prescott JF (**2007**). Salmonellosis. In: Thomas NJ, Hunter DB, Atkinson CT, (eds) Infectious Diseases of Wild Birds. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Iowa, Ames, pp 270-288.
- Dobbin G, Hariharan H, Daoust PY, Hariharan S, Heaney S, Coles M, Price L, Anne Muckle C (2005) Bacterial flora of free-living double-crested cormorant (*Phalacrocorax auritus*) chicks on Prince Edward Island, Canada, with reference to enteric bacteria and antibiotic resistance. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 28: 71-82.
- EFSA (2015). Scientific Report of EFSA and ECDC. The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2014. EFSA J 2015; 13: 4329-4520.
- Fonseca EL, Mykytczuk OL, Asensi MD, Reis EM, Ferraz LR, Paula FL, Ng LK, Rodrigues DP (2006) Clonality and antimicrobial resistance gene profiles of multi-drug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar Infantis isolates from four public hospitals in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. J Clin Microbiol 44: 2767-2772.
- Gallardo F, Ruiz, J, Marco F, Towner KJ, Vila J (1999) Increase in incidence of resistance to ampicillin, chloramphenicol and trimethoprim in clinical isolates of *Salmonella* serotype Typhimurium with investigation of molecular epidemiology and mechanisms of resistance. J Med Microbiol 48: 367-374.
- Gast RK (2008) Paratyphoid infections. In: Saif YM, Fadly AM, Glisson JR, McDougald LR, Nolan LK, Swayne DE (eds) Diseases of Poultry. 12th ed., Blackwell Publishing, Iowa, Ames, pp. 636-665.
- Griggs DJ, Gensberg K, Piddock LJ (**1996**) Mutations in gyrA gene of quinolone-resistant *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from humans and animals. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 40: 1009-1013.
- Guibourdenche M, Roggentin P, Mikoleit M, Fields PI, Bockemuhl J, Grimont PA, Weill FX (2010). Supplement 2003-2007 (no. 47) to the White-Kauffmann-Le Minor scheme. Res Microbiol 161: 26-9
- Hamer SA, Lehrer E, Magle SB (2011) Wild birds as sentinels for multiple zoonotic pathogens along an urban to rural gradient in greater Chicago, Illinois. Zoonoses Public Health 59: 355-364.
- Hernandez SM, Keel K, Sanchez S, Trees E, Gerner-Smidt P, Adams JK, Cheng Y, Ray A 3rd, Martin G, Presotto A, Ruder MG, Brown J, Blehert DS, Cottrell W, Maurer JJ (2012) Epidemiology of a *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium strain associated with a songbird outbreak. Appl Environ Microbiol 78: 7290-7298.
- Heuer H, Smalla K (2007) Manure and sulfadiazine synergistically increased bacterial antibiotic resistance in soil over at least two months. Environ Microbiol 9: 657-666.
- Hudson CR, Quist C, Lee MD, Keyes K, Dodson SV, Morales C, Sanchez S, White DG, Maurer JJ (**2000**) Genetic relatedness of *Salmonella* isolates from nondomestic birds in Southeastern United States. J Clin Microbiol 38: 1860-1865.
- Hughes LA, Shopland S, Wigley P, Bradon H, Leatherbarrow AH, Williams NJ, Bennett M, de Pinna E, Lawson B,



- Cunningham AA, Chantrey J (2008) Characterisation of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium isolates from wild birds in northern England from 2005-2006. BMC Vet Res 4: 4.
- Kobayashi H, Kanazaki M, Shimizu Y, Nakajima H, Khatun MM, Hata E, Kubo M (2007) Salmonella Isolates from Cloacal Swabs and Footpads of Wild Birds in the Immediate Environment of Tokyo Bay. J Vet Med Sci 69: 309-311.
- Krawiec M, Kuczkowski M, Kruszewicz AG, Wieliczko A (2015) Prevalence and genetic characteristics of Salmonella in free-living birds in Poland. BMC Vet Res 11: 15.
- Krawiec M, Pietkiewicz M, Wieliczko A (2014) Salmonella spp. as a cause of mortality and clinical symptoms in free-living garden bird species in Poland. Pol J Vet Sci 17: 729-731.
- Lawson B, Hughes LA, Peters T, de Pinna E, John SK, Macgregor SK, Cunningham AA (2011) Pulsed-Field gel electrophoresis supports the presence of host-adapted *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains in the British garden bird population. Appl Environ Microbiol 77: 8139-8144.
- Lee K, Iwata T, Shimizu M, Taniguchi T, Nakadai A, Hirota Y, Hayashidani H (2009) A novel multiplex PCR assay for *Salmonella* subspecies identification. J Appl Microbiol 107: 805-811.
- Lynne AM, Rhodes-Clark BS, Bliven K, Zhao S, Foley SL (2008) Antimicrobial resistance genes associated with *Salmonella enterica* serovar Newport isolates from food animals. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52: 353-356.
- Matias CA, Pereira IA, de Araujo Mdos M, Santos AF, Lopes RP, Christakis S, Rodriguez Ddos P, Siciliano S (2016) Characteristics of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from Wild Birds Confiscated in Illegal Trade Markets, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. BioMed Res Int 2016: 3416864.
- Molina-Lopez RA, Valverdú N, Martin M, Mateu E, Obon E, Cerdà-Cuéllar M, Darwich L (**2011**) Wild raptors as carriers of antimicrobial-resistant *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* strains. Vet Rec 168: 565.
- Molina-López RA, Vidal A, Obón E, Marttn M, Darwich L (**2015**) Multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium Monophasic Variant 4,12:i:- Isolated from Asymptomatic Wildlife in a Catalonian Wildlife Rehabilitation Center, Spain. J Wild Dis 51: 759-763.

- Ozeki S, Deguchi T, Yasuda M, Nakano M, Kawamura T, Nishino Y, Kawada Y (1997) Development of a rapid assay for detecting *gyrA* mutations in *Escherichia coli* and determination of incidence of *gyrA* mutations in clinical strains isolated from patients with complicated urinary tract infections. J Clin Microbiol 35: 2315-2319.
- Papadopoulou C, Davies RH, Carrique-Mas JJ, Evans SJ (2009) *Salmonella* serovars and their antimicrobial resistance in British turkey flocks in 1995 to 2006. Avian Pathol 38: 349-357.
- Ramos R, Cerda-Cuellar M, Ramirez F, Jover L, Ruiz X (**2010**) Influence of refuse sites on the prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp. and *Salmonella* serovars in seagulls. App Environ Microbiol 76: 3052-3056.
- Randall LP, Cooles SW, Osborn MK, Piddock LJ, Vand Woodward MJ (2004) Antibiotic resistance genes, integrons and multiple antibiotic resistances in thirty-five serotypes of *Salmonella enterica* isolated from humans and animals in the UK. J Antimicrob Chemother 53: 208-216.
- Reche MP, Garcia de los Rios JE, Jimenez PA, Rojas AM, Rotger R (2002) GyrA mutations associated with nalidixic-acid resistant salmonellae from wild birds. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46: 3108-3109.
- Seyfarth AM, Wegener HC, Frimodt-Moller N (1997) Antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium from humans and production animals. J Antimicrob Chemother 40: 67-75.
- Tizard I (2004) Salmonellosis in wild birds. Semin Avian Exot Pet Med 13: 50-66.
- Türkyylmaz S, Hazymoğlu Ş, Bozdoğan B (2009) Antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance genes in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis isolated from turkeys. Isr J Vet Med 64: 72-77.
- WHO (World Health Organization) (2014) Antimicrobial resistance. Global report on surveillance. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO 2014: 257.
- Zhao S, Mc Dermott PF, Friedman S, Abbott J, Ayers S, Glenn A, Hall-Robinson E, Hubert SK, Harbottle H, Walker RD, Chiller TM, White DG (2006) Antimicrobial resistance and genetic relatedness among Salmonella from retail foods of animal origin: NARMS retail meat surveillance. Foodborne Pathog Dis 3: 106-117.