

FOLIA ORIENTALIA
VOL. 47 2010

Wilfred G. E. Watson
Northumberland (UK)

AN ASSORTMENT OF ARTICLES
IN A UGARITIC TEXT

1. Introduction

The text under discussion here (RS [Varia 23] (AO 21.090) = KTU 5.23), of unknown provenance, was first published over thirty years ago¹. It is written on a small beige tablet by an unpractised hand, probably a scribe under training². Apparently, it is a list of disconnected items, possibly household goods of some kind, but it could simply be a series of words written out for practice³. This means that there is no context and that meanings can only be suggested either because they correspond to known words in Ugaritic or because they have equivalents in cognate languages. There have been no further studies of this text and it merits another close look.

2. RS [Varia 23] (AO 21.090) = KTU 5.23

The readings in the latest edition (KTU) differ slightly from the first published edition (Caquot/Masson 1977)⁴. The text and translation of this tablet are as follows:

01 <i>šmn mr</i>	myrrh-scented oil
02 <i>qrn</i>	a horn(-shaped container)
03 <i>il{.}t azml</i>	three sacks
04 <i>pldm</i>	blankets
05 <i>trdy</i>	a bowl (?)
06 <i>šmn nr</i>	lamp oil
07 <i>ḫš^ct</i>	[unintelligible]
08 <i>kdnt</i>	pitchers
09 <i>trdm</i>	bowls (?)
10 <i>iqnt.</i>	adornments/garments;
11 <i>gpn.</i>	a date-basket (?);
12 <i>m^csdm.</i>	sickles;
13 <i>ḫm. tnt</i>	boards; an ornament (?)
14 <i>dgm</i>	covers (?)
-----	-----
15 <i>[xx]xmt</i>	[broken]
16 <i>mkkm</i>	carpets/scrapers:
17 <i>tn. dqm</i>	two small
-----	-----
18 <i>mškkm</i>	necklaces
19 <i>qdhm</i>	saucers

3. Philological notes

¹ Caquot/Masson 1977; copy p. 16 (Fig. 3) and transcription p. 17.

² "Petite tablette de couleur beige, en partie brûlée au recto et en totalité au verso, de format presque carré (hauteur : 52 mm, largeur 60 mm). Une inscription gravée de manière grossière couvre la quasi totalité de la surface, faces et tranches. Si l'identification des signes est aisée, on a quelque peine à retrouver la disposition du texte. Le recto comporte cinq lignes qu'on lit entièrement. On discerne à la fin de la ligne 3 et au début de la ligne 4 des marques dont la présence pourrait être fortuite ... Le verso comporte sept lignes toutes assez courtes pour que le droit ait été d'abord laissée vide. Le scribe a ensuite utilisé cet espace vacant pour continuer l'inscription en disposant les lignes perpendiculairement aux précédentes..." - Caquot/Masson 1977, 15. "Wörter und Buchstabenkombinationen in ordentlicher Schrift, die außer Vorderseite und Rückseite auch den linken und rechten Tafelrand ausfüllen" - Dietrich/Loretz 1988, 183.

³ "C'est une liste de noms communs dont quelques uns seulement sont connus. La maladresse du trait fait penser que la tablette était un exercice de vocabulaire gravé par un élève scribe" (Caquot/Masson 1977, 16).

⁴ Line 13: *ḫm. tnt* not *ḫm. tn*; line 16: *mkkm* not *mk qtm*; line 17: *dqm* not *dqm*.

An Assortment of Articles in a Ugaritic Text

line 1: *šmn mr*, “oil of myrrh”, i.e. “oil infused with myrrh”; see also *kd šmn mr*, “an amphora of myrrh-infused oil” (KTU 4.14:2, 8, 15; RS 94.2479:16) and *kt šmn mr*, “a jug of myrrh-infused oil” (KTU 4.91:15). Note also *šmn mr šmn rqh*, “myrrh infused oil, perfume” (KTU 1.41:21; 1.87:22). The equivalents in cognate languages are EA Akkadian *šamnu murru*, “oil of myrrh” (EA 25 iv 51; cf. CAD M/2, 221b) and Heb. *šaman hammôr*, “oil of myrrh” (cf. HALOT, 630).

line 2: *qrn* literally means “horn”, but probably here denotes a horn used as a container, unless it is a horn-shaped container⁵. This meaning seems confirmed by the expression *lqh. hw šmn. b. qrnh*, “he took oil in his horn-container” (KTU 2.72:31-32). Similarly, in Akkadian texts, *qarnu* is a rhyton used for oil⁶ and much the same applies to Heb. *qeren*, “horn (receptacle for oil)” (HALOT, 1145)⁷.

line 3: *l{l}.l*: either there is an incorrect word-divider here within a word or an [l] has been written with four wedges⁸. Here the word *l{l}* probably means “three” rather than “copper”⁹, but either would be possible. *azml* means “sack”¹⁰ or possibly even “harness”¹¹.

line 4: *pld*, “cloth” (also KTU 1.148:21; 4.4:4, 5; 4.146:7; 4.152:4, 7, 8; 4.205:1, 7; 4.270:8, 12; 4.363:8; 9.419:9). This term denotes a garment made of linen, wool or other material and in one text (KTU 4.363) it is specifically connected with horses and chariots. It would seem to be a general term for a textile or clothing – “ein Gewandtyp”¹² – that could also be used for horses¹³. In Akkadian texts from Ugarit it is written *TÚG pa-li-du* and *TÚG pa-li-du-ma*¹⁴

line 5: *trdy*, “bowl” (?). This is a very tentative proposal based on Arab. *tarada*, “to crumble and sop (bread)”, *tarīd*, “a dish of sopped bread, meat and broth” and *mitrad*, “bowl” (DMWA, 102b)¹⁵. The function of the final -y seems to be to make a noun out of *trd*: “belonging to *trd*”¹⁶. No meaning for *trdy* is given in the recent dictionary of Ugaritic (DUL, 931). The word recurs as *trdm* in line 9.

line 6: *šmn nr*, “oil of a lamp”, i.e. “lamp oil”, also occurs in the phrase *kd. šmn. nr*, “a jar of lamp-oil” (KTU 4.786:8) and has a close equivalent in *kd. šmn. l. nr. ilm*, “a jar of oil for the lamp of the gods” (KTU 4.284:6).

line 7: *thš^ct* may possibly be two separate words, i.e. *thš* and ^ct. If so, the second word (^ct) could mean “moth”, Heb. ^cās, “moth” (HALOT, 895b), Akk. *ašāšu*, “kind of moth” (CDA, 27b; AHw, 79b) as proposed by Caquot/Masson¹⁷. However, the line makes no sense as it stands.

line 8: *kdnt*, “pitcher(s)”, a meaning based on Syriac *kdn, kdn*, “small pitcher” (cf. Brockelmann LS², 318) “a small narrow-necked jug” (Payne Smith, 205)¹⁸. The commonly accepted meaning “she-mule”¹⁹ is possible, of course, but seems out of place in this list.

⁵ Translated “Horn”, “Füllhorn (für Salböhl)” (Tropper KWU, 101).

⁶ See CAD Q, 138b meaning 4: “horn as a container, rhyton”.

⁷ Cf. also Eg. *wth qrn*, “*qrn*-vessel” (CDD Q, p. 61).

⁸ See Tropper UG, 70 §21.411 l.

⁹ See Marzal 1963.

¹⁰ “Sack” (als Maßeinheit) according to Tropper UG, 106 §32.143.4.3.

¹¹ “È verosimile che questo tessile ... fosse impiegato nella bardatura degli equini, come l'acc. *azamillum* ...” – Ribichini/Xella 1985: 28.

¹² Tropper KWU, 95.

¹³ The occurrence in KTU 4.152:4 is not connected with horses.

¹⁴ See Ribichini/Xella 1985: 59; DUL, 671. Heb. *pelādôt*, of uncertain meaning, even though it is used in connection with a chariot (cf. HALOT, 929-930), is of no help in assigning a meaning.

¹⁵ Ug. *trdy* is very unlikely to correspond either to Syriac *šrd*, “bitter almond” (Brockelmann LS², 807) or to Akk. *šurdu(m)*, “part of a wagon wheel” (CAD Š/3, 342-343; CDA, 387).

¹⁶ For this function of -y see Tropper UG, 273-274 §51.46 h-k.

¹⁷ However, see SED II No. 45 pp. 63-64.

line 9: *trdm* see on line 5.

line 10: *tqnt*, “adornments”, corresponding to Akk. *tiqnu*, “embellishment, ornament, insignia” (CAD T, 422-423), “proper equipment, ornament” (CDA, 407a) or else to *tuqnu*, “a garment” (CAD T, 481a), “a headband” (CDA, 410a). Whether or not this is a loanword in Ugaritic is uncertain.

line 11: *gpn* probably does not mean “vine” here but may be an extended form of *gp*, “(date-)basket” (RS 94.2406:27)²⁰. Or else it may mean “harness”; Tropper (2002, 133) refers to Arab. *ġff* (II), “to put a war-harness on a horse” and translates “Reit- od. Zugtiergeschir” (KWU, 35).

line 12: *mʿšdm*, “sickles, scythes” (also KTU 3.6:7; 4.625:3, 5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18; 4.632:3, 12) cognate with Heb. *mʿšd*, “(blacksmith’s) tool” (HALOT, 865-866)²¹. Scholars are undecided as to the exact meaning of Ug. *mʿšd*. Greenfield notes: “... in the Gezer calendar l. 4: *yrh ʿšd pšt*, the root *ʿšd* is used for the harvesting of flax and the same use of *ʿšd* is found in Mish. Heb. It is equally possible that [Ug.] *mʿšd* was therefore a type of “hoe”.²² According to Healey, “The cognates suggest *mʿšd* is a lopping tool”²³, and he rejects any connection with Akk. *ešēdu*, “to harvest”²⁴. Note that RS Akk. *mašādu* means “melting pot” (cf. CDA, 200a), not “sickle”.

line 13: *tnl*, “ornament” - Akk. *tenšū*, “ornament” (CAD T, 344) or “precious metal dress ornament” (CDA, 404a; cf. AHW, 1347b). *lhm* is possibly a plural or dual of *lh*, “tablet, board”²⁵ but it could simply mean “bread”.

line 14: *dgm*, “coverlets” or “lids”, based on Semitic *dgy*, “to cover” (HSED, 173 §758), seems more likely than a plural of *dg*, “fish”. It is very unlikely to be Eg. *dgm*, “Rizinus” (Wb 5, 500.9-13), “fruit, castor oil” (DLE II, 257).

line 16: *mkk*, “carpet”, if it is equivalent to Syriac *mkkʿ*, “carpet” (cf. Brockelmann LS², 384) or “a rug” (Payne Smith, 271). Alternatively it may mean “scraper”, if it can be explained by Akk. *mekēku*, “wooden scraper” (CDA, 206b), “scratcher, scraper” (CAD M/2, 6b). Should the second suggestion be correct, this would be a direct loanword since in Akkadian, *mekēku* derives from the verb *ekēku*, which is cognate with Aram. *hkk* and Arab. *hkk* (cf. AHW, 193b)²⁶.

line 17: *dqm* may qualify *mkkm* if *mkkm tn dqm* means “two small carpets”. Alternatively, *dq* may mean “(piece of) gauze”, equivalent to Heb. *dōq*, “veil, gauze” (HALOT, 229b), although the meaning of the Hebrew word is uncertain. A further possibility is provided by Emar Akkadian *daqqu*, “link in a (necklace) chain”, although this meaning is uncertain²⁷.

line 18: *mškkm*, “necklaces”²⁸ – based on Akk. *šakāku*, “to thread, string”, and note the meanings “to string beads” and IV: “to be strung with gems” (CAD Š/1, 113-116; cf. CDA, 348). Deivatives in Akkadian are *šikkātu*, “string of beads” (CAD Š/2, 435b; CDA, 372) and *šikkatu*, “tassel, trimmings

¹⁸ It cannot be excluded that this noun may be related to Ug. *kd*, “amphora”, or to Akk. *kuddu*, “a container” of wood or reed (< Sum.) (CDA, 164b).

¹⁹ See DUL, 431; cf. SED II, 169-171, No. 124.

²⁰ It seems to correspond to Akk. *gipū*, “date-basket” (CDA, p. 93b) as discussed in Watson 20009 §1.

²¹ Arab. *mī dād*, “a lopping-tool” (Lane AEL, 1863ff., 2072f.)

²² Greenfield 1967: 92; he also notes (92 n. 3) that in Mishnaic Hebrew, the *maʿšād* is “an adze used by a carpenter”.

²³ Healey 1983: 52.

²⁴ However, see HSED, 246 §1096 (**ʿiçVd*. “[to] harvest”).

²⁵ Although the standard plural is *lht* (see DUL, 494-495).

²⁶ Semantically, it would be the equivalent of Punic *mgrd*, “scraper, scratcher” (DNWSI, 594).

²⁷ See Pentiuć 2001: 44 (but with no reference to Ugaritic). The word occurs in the expression [I] *hi-šu* GUŠKIN *qa-du da-qu-ti-šu*, “[one] gold necklace together with its links”, as cited and translated by Pentiuć.

²⁸ Untranslated in DLU, 300 and DUL, 591; cf. Watson 2007, 95-96 #2.2.02.1 (177), 117.

An Assortment of Articles in a Ugaritic Text

(on clothing); string of beads” (CDA, 372a; cf. CAD Š/2, 432-433). Note also Akk. *šakkukum*, “a belt” (CDA, 349b). A meaning for Ug. *mškk* in the semantic range of ornamental clothing seems preferable to the translation “harrow”, cognate with Akk. *maškakātu*, “harrow” (CAD M/1, 368; CDA, 202a), “Egge” (AHw, 626), although the underlying root seems to be the same.

line 19: *qđhm*, “saucers”, based on Syriac *qđh* and *qđh'*, “sauce”²⁹ and Arab. *qadaḥ*, “drinking bowl, cup”³⁰. It is certainly a more plausible alternative to “briquet, étoupe”, as proposed by Caquot and Masson³¹.

4. Final comments

Even though this text may have been an exercise for a student of alphabetic cuneiform – either copying another tablet or writing from dictation – it does seem to contain items that could have belonged to a household³². However, they are not grouped in any obvious way nor is the significance of the ruled lines evident. Several of the words used only occur here (*kđnt*, *mkkm*, *mškkm*, *qđhm*, *iqnt*, *tnt*, *trđym*, *trđy* and the unintelligible sequence *ṯḥs't*) and they could simply be nonsense words. An attempt has been made here to assign meanings to some of the more obscure terms, but in the complete absence of any parallels or even of a remotely similar text³³, these can be no more than conjectures. It would seem that there are no loanwords from non-Semitic languages, although some words may have been borrowed directly from Akkadian.

Bibliography

- Caquot, A. - Masson, E.
1977 “Tablettes ougaritiques du Louvre”, *Semitica* 27: 5-19.
Dietrich, M. - Loretz, O.
1988 *Die Keilalphabet. Die phönizisch-kanaanäischen und altarabischen Alphabete in Ugarit* (ALASP 1; Münster).
Greenfield, J. C.
1967 “Ugaritic Lexicographical Notes”, *Journal of Cuneiform Studies* 21: 89-93.
Healey, J. F.
1983 “Swords and Ploughshares: Some Ugaritic Terminology”, *Ugarit-Forschungen* 15: 47-52.
Marzal A.
1963 “Consideraciones sobre el raíz ugarítico «*ṯḥ*»”, *Biblica* 44: 343-351.
Payne Smith, R.
1903 *A Compendious Syriac Dictionary* (Oxford).
Pentiuć, E. J.
20001 *West Semitic Vocabulary in the Akkadian Texts from Emar* (HSS 49; Winona Lake).
Ribichini, S. - Xella, P.
1985 *La terminologia dei tessili nei testi di Ugarit* (Collezione di Studi Fenici 20; Rome).
Watson, W. G. E.
2007 *Lexical Studies in Ugaritic* (Aula Orientalis Supplementa 19; Sabadell, Barcelona).
2009 “Jottings on some Ugaritic words”, *Studi epigrafici e linguistici sul Vicino Oriente antico* 26.

Abbreviations

- AEL E. W. Lane, *Al-Qamūsu: an Arabic-English Lexicon*, vols. 1-8; London 1863-1893, repr. New York 1955).
AHw W. von Soden, *Akkadisches Handwörterbuch*, 3 vols. (Wiesbaden 1965-1981).
ALASP Abhandlungen zur Literatur Alt-Syrien-Palästinas und Mesopotamiens

²⁹ Cf. Brockelmann LS², 646.

³⁰ DMWA, 745a. See also Afro-Asiatic **kad-* “vessel” (HSED, 334 §1534; and cf. §1579).

³¹ Caquot/Masson, 1977, 18, based on Heb. *qđh*, “to set fire to” (HALOT, 1067), similarly, DUL, 693.

³² Although, should *gpn* mean either “reins” or “vine”, it would seem out of place in such a list.

³³ Some of the terms and expressions used recur elsewhere in Ugaritic.

Wilfred G. E. Watson

- AOAT Alter Orient und Altes Testament
CAD A. L. Oppenheim, ed., *The Chicago Assyrian Dictionary* (Chicago - Glückstadt 1956-).
CDA J. Black - A. George - N. Postgate, *A Concise Dictionary of Akkadian* (SANTAG 5; Wiesbaden 2000²).
CDD J. H. Johnson, ed., *The Demotic Dictionary of the Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago* (Chicago 2001).
DLE II L. H. Lesko, *A Dictionary of Late Egyptian*, Vol. II (Providence 2004²).
DLU G. del Olmo Lete - J. Sanmartín, *Diccionario de la lengua ugarítica*. Vol. I (Aula Orientalis Supplementa 7; Sabadell, Barcelona 1996); Vol. 2 (Aula Orientalis Supplementa 8; Sabadell, Barcelona 2000).
DMWA H. Wehr - J. Cowan, *A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic* (Wiesbaden 1971).
DNWSI J. Hofijzer - K. Jongeling, *Dictionary of the North-West Semitic Inscriptions*, 2 vols. (HdO I/21; Leiden 1995).
DUL G. del Olmo Lete - J. Sanmartín, *A Dictionary of the Ugaritic Language in the Alphabetic Tradition* (English Version Edited and Translated by W. G. E. Watson) 2 vols (HdO I/67; Leiden 2002¹, 2003²).
ELO Elementa linguarum orientis
HALOT *The Hebrew and Aramaic Lexicon of the Old Testament* vols. I-V (translated by M. E. J. Richardson, Leiden 1994-2000).
HdO Handbuch der Orientalistik
HSED V. E. Orel - O. V. Stolbova, *Hamito-Semitic Etymological Dictionary. Materials for a Reconstruction* (HdO I/18; Leiden 1995).
KTU M. Dietrich - O. Loretz - J. Sanmartín, *The Cuneiform Alphabetic Texts from Ugarit, Ras Ibn Hani and Other Places (KTU: second, enlarged edition)* (ALASP 8; Münster 1995).
KWU J. Tropper, *Kleines Wörterbuch des Ugaritischen* (ELO 4; Wiesbaden 2008).
LS² C. Brockelmann, *Lexicon Syriacum* (Hildesheim 1966).
SED II A. Militarev - L. Kogan, *Semitic Etymological Dictionary*. Vol. II. *Animal Names* (AOAT 278/2; Münster 2005).
UG J. Tropper, *Ugaritische Grammatik* (AOAT 273; Münster 2000).
Wb A. Erman - H. Grapow, *Wörterbuch der ägyptischen Sprache*, vols. 1-7 (Berlin 1926-1963) vols. 1-6 and *Belegstellen* vols. 1-5 (Leipzig 1825-1955).